

University of São Paulo
“Luiz de Queiroz” College of Agriculture

**Movement ecology and functional relationships in natural
enemies of *Spodoptera frugiperda***

Maysa Pereira Tomé

Thesis presented to obtain the degree of Doctor in
Science. Area: Entomology

Piracicaba
2022

Maysa Pereira Tomé
Bachelor in Agronomy

Movement ecology and functional relationships in natural enemies of
Spodoptera frugiperda

Advisor:
Prof. Dr. **WESLEY AUGUSTO CONDE**
GODOY

Thesis presented to obtain the degree of Doctor in
Science. Area: Entomology

Piracicaba
2022

**Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação
DIVISÃO DE BIBLIOTECA – DIBD/ESALQ/USP**

Tomé, Maysa Pereira

Movement ecology and functional relationships in natural enemies of *Spodoptera frugiperda* / Maysa Pereira Tomé- - Piracicaba, 2022.

71 p.

Tese (Doutorado) - - USP / Escola Superior de Agricultura "Luiz de Queiroz".

1. Plantas Bt 2. Inimigos naturais 3. Resistência de pragas 4 Modelos computacionais I. Título

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my beloved parents, Genilda and Audimair, for all their support and love.

AGRADECIMENTOS

Ao meu Deus toda minha gratidão pela vida, por estar presente em cada detalhe: nas descobertas, no insucesso, nas pessoas, na natureza, no comportamento dos insetos...

À minha irmã amada, Mileny, pela companhia carinhosa na hora do café, de forma presencial ou por vídeo.

Aos meus tios, primos e em especial meus avós, vovô Dé, vovó Zezé e vó Sebinha, pelo carinho e por acreditarem em mim.

Ao meu orientador, Wesley Godoy, por ter sido um grande amigo nessa fase que foi a mais desafiadora até o momento. Pelos conselhos, nem sempre sobre ciência, mas sobre a vida.

Ao meu coorientador, o pai da Luna, Adriano Garcia, por ter me apresentado a modelagem computacional, pela imensa paciência, ensinamentos e amizade.

Ao meu amigo e colaborador desse trabalho, Igor Weber, pelo companheirismo, ensinamentos compartilhados e muitas perturbações (boas) durante os dias de trabalho.

Ao pesquisador Eric Weinberg, por ter feito parte desse desafio e pela colaboração nesta pesquisa.

Aos pesquisadores e co-autores de parte desse trabalho, Josimeri Jamielnyak, Eduardo Engel, Mirian Hay-Roe, pela parceria e profissionalismo.

Aos colegas do Laboratório de Resistência de Insetos da ESALQ, na pessoa do professor Celso Omoto, pelos insetos cedidos para a condução dos experimentos.

À Eliane Garcia, pelos ajustes finais da tese a ser enviada para biblioteca.

Aos meus pastores, Irineu e Laurice, pelas orações e por me tratarem como filha.

Às minhas amigas paraibanas e de longa data: Aninha, Glória, Andressa, Rosana e Karol por todo carinho e por torcerem por mim. Sou grata a Deus por vocês.

Aos meus amados amigos/irmãos da igreja Assembleia de Deus Min. do Belém em Piracicaba, que fizeram minha vida mais feliz, leve e abençoada. Amo vocês!

Aos meus amigos da Entomologia da Esalq, atuais e passados, pelo companheirismo no dia a dia de trabalho, nos anseios e nas muitas conquistas. Vocês são demais!

À Esalq e ao Departamento de Entomologia e Acarologia, por oferecer condições físicas e científicas para a realização desse trabalho.

À CAPES, FAPESP (2020/09495-6), por meio do SPARCBio, pela concessão das bolsas de estudo.

Àqueles que cruzaram meu caminho de forma singela e, por vezes até acidental, mas que de alguma maneira deixaram boas lembranças em minha memória, agradeço.

Deus os abençoe grandemente. Muito obrigada!

“But ask the animals, and they will teach you,
or the birds in the sky, and they will tell you;
or speak to the earth, and it will teach you,
or let the fish in the sea inform you.

Which of all these does not know that the hand of the Lord has done this?
In his hand is the life of every creature and the breath of all mankind.”

Job 12:7-10

RESUMO

Ecologia do movimento e relações funcionais em inimigos naturais de *Spodoptera frugiperda*

Estudar as nuances de um agroecossistema para que programas de manejo de pragas envolvendo a combinação de plantas transgênicas e inimigos naturais seja sustentável ambientalmente e economicamente é um grande desafio. Dessa forma, esta pesquisa teve como objetivo estudar a interação de práticas de manejo sobre os inimigos naturais de *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), considerando um cenário agrícola composto de plantas Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) e diferentes genótipos da praga. Conduzimos um estudo para investigar, primeiramente, se diferentes genótipos interagiriam diferentemente com agentes de controle biológico, abordando sistemas predador-presa específicos para a espécie em questão. Realizamos experimentos de predação e resposta funcional em laboratório com ninfas e adultos do percevejo predador *Podisus nigrispinus* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), sobre larvas de *S. frugiperda* resistentes e suscetíveis às toxinas Bt. Adultos e ninfas apresentaram resposta funcional do tipo II, independente do genótipo da presa. A dinâmica de predação também foi a mesma para ambos os genótipos, no entanto, a predação máxima foi atingida por adultos diante de presas suscetíveis. Ninfas levaram ~2.9 minutos para se alimentar das larvas. A análise de PCA mostrou que o comportamento de predação dos predadores depende do genótipo da presa. A nossa pesquisa também investigou como a resistência em *S. frugiperda* ocorre em áreas de milho Bt e não-Bt, arrançadas espacialmente em faixas e de forma aleatória, cercadas de plantas gramíneas (*Cynodon* sp.), considerando períodos de entressafra. Utilizamos modelagem computacional baseada no indivíduo para criar a paisagem proposta e inserimos os dados biológicos da praga junto ao modelo. Os resultados mostraram que a presença de gramíneas contribuiu na diminuição do alelo de resistência em campo. Arranjos aleatórios foram favoráveis ao aumento de indivíduos resistentes no campo (95.86%). Arranjos em faixas mostraram ser mais eficientes no manejo da resistência, mas ainda assim possibilitaram um aumento de 82.10%. Períodos de entressafra contribuirão tanto para a redução da população de *S. frugiperda*, quanto para a redução da frequência do alelo de resistência. Por fim, ainda utilizando modelagem computacional baseada no indivíduo, estudamos a combinação de técnicas de manejo de pragas: plantas Bt, não-Bt, inimigos naturais de *S. frugiperda* e inseticidas. Nosso objetivo foi entender como essas ferramentas atuam no manejo da resistência e de que maneira essa integração poderia afetar os inimigos naturais (parasitoides) e, conseqüentemente, seus serviços ecossistêmicos. Os resultados mostraram que zonas de refúgio maiores beneficiam os inimigos naturais e, ao mesmo tempo, auxiliam na redução da frequência de resistência em campo. Por outro lado, essas áreas favoreceram o aumento da população de pragas em campo. Inseticidas com 50 e 100% de seletividade contribuíram com a permanência de parasitoides, juntamente com zonas de refúgios nas proporções de 15 e 20%. Os cenários com menor zona de refúgios (5%) e seletividade de 0% sobre os inimigos naturais, tiveram aumento na frequência de resistência inicial (1%) e, portanto, foram consideradas inapropriadas para o manejo de pragas.

Palavras-chave: Plantas Bt, Modelagem computacional, Inimigos naturais, Resistência de pragas

ABSTRACT

Movement ecology and functional relationships in natural enemies of *Spodoptera frugiperda*

Studying the nuances of an agroecosystem so that pest management programs involving the combination of transgenic plants and natural enemies are environmentally and economically sustainable is a great challenge. Therefore, this research aimed to study the interaction of management practices on the natural enemies of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), considering an agricultural scenario composed of Bt plants (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) and different genotypes of the pest. We conducted a study to investigate, firstly, whether different genotypes would interact differently with biological control agents, addressing specific predator-prey systems for the species in question. We carried out predation and functional response experiments in the laboratory with nymphs and adults of the predatory bug *Podisus nigrispinus* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), on larvae of *S. frugiperda* resistant and susceptible to Bt toxins. Adults and nymphs showed a type II functional response, regardless of prey genotype. The predation dynamics was the same for both genotypes, however, the maximum predation was reached by adults facing susceptible prey. Nymphs spent ~2.9 minutes to feed on larvae. The PCA analysis showed that the predation behavior of predators depends on the prey genotype. Our research also investigated how resistance in *S. frugiperda* occurs in areas of Bt and non-Bt maize, spatially arranged in bands and randomly, surrounded by grassy plants (*Cynodon nlemfuensis*), considering off-season periods. We used individual-based computational modeling to create the proposed landscape and entered the biological data of the pest along with the model. The results showed that the presence of grasses contributed to the reduction of the resistance allele in the field. Random (seed mixture) arrangements were favorable to the increase of resistant individuals in the field (95.86%). Arrangements in strips proved to be more efficient in managing resistance, but still allowed an increase of 82.10%. Off-season periods contributed both to the reduction of the population of *S. frugiperda* and to the reduction in the frequency of the resistance allele. Finally, still using computational modeling based on the individual, we studied the combination of pest management techniques: Bt and non-Bt plants, natural enemies of *S. frugiperda* and insecticides. Our goal was to understand how these tools act in resistance management and how this integration could affect natural enemies (parasitoids) and, consequently, their ecosystem services. The results showed that larger refuge zones benefit natural enemies and, at the same time, help to reduce the frequency of resistance in the field. On the other hand, these areas favored an increase in the population of pests in the field. Insecticides with 50 and 100% selectivity contributed to the permanence of parasitoids, along with refuge zones in proportions of 15 and 20%. The scenarios with the smallest refuge zone (5%) and 0% selectivity on natural enemies, had an increase in the frequency of initial resistance (1%) and, therefore, were considered inappropriate for pest management.

Keywords: Bt crops, Computational modeling, Natural enemies, Insect resistance

1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The great demand for food has increasingly required innovative solutions regarding pest management, taking environmental sustainability into account. The challenge is to identify which is the best pest control measure to be used, or a set of them. The IPM (Integrated Pest Management) is the global term defined by FAO (2012) as the combined use of techniques that aim to increase agricultural production in a healthy way, reducing the application of pesticides. The IPM system does not despise conservative control and values ecological interactions, such as predation and parasitism as control agents as much as the use of GM (Genetically Modified) crops and pesticides (Lundgren et al. 2009).

In this context, several questions have emerged: Is there compatibility between GM crops and natural enemies? How to study these interactions? So far, research has shown that the use of GM crops (plants expressing the insecticidal toxin from soil bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* - Bt) is highly effective and very specific to insect pests (Head, 2005). However, when friendly organisms are strongly related to the target pests of the controlling tactic, which will be potential risks, whether chemical or biological control (Dale et al., 2002).

Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is the main target of Bt technologies around the world. Due to its high management cost and the increasing cases of insecticide resistance, Bt toxins were the great control alternative for the pest. Meantime, a few years after the widespread introduction of Bt technology, field-evolved resistance emerged requiring new pest management techniques (Huang, 2021), and valuing even more the natural control by natural enemies, which can keep these pests below the level of economic damage (Liu et al., 2014; Koffi et al., 2020).

Some studies have investigated how this tritrophic relationship among Bt crops-herbivory-natural enemies occurs focusing on behavioral traits of these insects, such as feeding, oviposition, and movement of phytophagous (Ramalho et al., 2014; Han et al., 2016). Most works have investigated, indirectly, whether the performance of natural enemies can be impaired when the prey or host has been feeding on transgenic plants (Schuler et al., 2004; Malaquias et al., 2014; Meissle et al., 2005). These studies involve predation and behavioral experiments.

However, regarding to the movement of pests, which can be a challenge for effective IPM strategies (Irwin, 1999), modeling has proved to be helpful to guide efficient pest management techniques (Malaquias et al., 2017). Models can be used as a

predictive way, since they can investigate the factors that affects the population dynamics of pest and identify which are the essential factors to stabilize ecological interactions between natural enemies and pests (Tang and Cheke, 2008).

Faced with the questions raised related to pest management, Bt crops, ecological traits, natural enemies and its prey/host, our first objective was to study the dynamics of predation of the natural enemy *Podisus nigrispinus* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) as well as its functional response over different genotypes of *S. frugiperda*. Resistance to Bt toxins has been documented in many parts of the world (Vélez et al., 2013; Omoto et al. 2016). Nonetheless, few studies have focused on exploring resistance in the context of natural control by predators (Romeis et al 2006; Malaquias et al., 2015).

The second stage of the research was developing a model based on individual, using cellular automata approaches, to investigate the insect movement in crops field, structured with Bt and non-Bt maize. Furthermore, we inserted a naturally occurring herbaceous component in Florida - USA, which could or could not contribute to the evolution of pest resistance in *S. frugiperda*.

In the third phase of the thesis, we study how integrating of biological control techniques, Bt crops, and refuge zones (non-Bt) could affect the resistance evolution in *S. frugiperda*. Nonetheless, aiming to bring our model closer to the practice in the field when integrated management is applied, we insert the effects of a hypothetical insecticide over natural enemies to show whether the natural control is negatively affected.

References

- Amaral FSA, Guidolin AS, Salmeron E, Kanno RH et al (2019) Geographical distribution of Vip3Aa20 resistance allele frequencies in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations in Brazil. *Pest Manag Sci* 76:169-178. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5490>
- Auad AM, Sobrinho FS, Mendes SM, Toledo AMO, Lucindo TS, Benites FRG (2016) Seleção de clones de braquiária para resistência à lagarta-do-cartucho. *Pesq Agropec Bras* 51(5):579–585. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2016000500019>
- Bale JS, van Lenteren JC, Bigler F (2008) Biological control and sustainable food production. *Phil Trans R Soc* 363:761-776. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2182>

- Barrios-O'Neill D, Dick JTA, Emmerson MC, Ricciardi A, MacIsaac HJ (2015) Predator-free space, functional responses and biological invasions. *Functional Ecology*, 29:377–384. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12347>
- Barros EM, Silva-Torres CSA, Torres JB, Rolim GG (2018) Short-term toxicity of insecticides residues to key predators and parasitoids for pest management in cotton. *Phytoparasitica*, 46:391-404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-018-0672-8>
- Bates DM, Watts DG (1988) *Nonlinear regression analysis and its applications*, 2nd edn. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, NY, USA.
- Bates SL, Zhao J, Roush RT, Shelton AM (2005) Insect resistance management in GM crops: past, present and future. *Nat Biotechnol* 23(1):57–62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1056>
- Bates SL, Zhao J, Roush RT, Shelton AM (2005) Insect resistance management in GM crops: past, present and future. *Nat Biotechnol* 23:57-62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1056>
- Baty F, Ritz C, Charles S, et al (2015) A toolbox for nonlinear regression in R: The package nlstools. *J Stat Softw* 66:1–21. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v066.i05>
- Bentivenha JPF, Baldin ELL, Montezano DG et al (2017) Attack and defense movements involved in the interaction of *Spodoptera frugiperda* and *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J Pest Sci* 90:433-445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-016-0802-3>
- Bolker BM (2008) *Ecological models and data in R*. In *Ecological Models and Data in R*. Princeton University Press.
- Botteon VW, Neves JA, Godoy WAC (2017) Functional response and matrix population model of *Podisus nigrispinus* (Dallas, 1851) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) fed on *Chrysomia putoria* (Wiedemann, 1818) (Diptera: Calliophiridae) as alternative prey. *Neotrop Entomol* 46:137-143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-016-0440-8>
- Caprio MA (2001) Source-sink dynamics between transgenic and non-transgenic habitats and their role in the evolution of resistance. *J Econ Entomol* 94(3):698–705. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-94.3.698>
- Carrière Y, Tabashnik B (2001) Reversing insect adaptation to transgenic insecticidal plants. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 268:1475–1480. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1689>
- Carroll M, Head G, Caprio M (2012) When and where a seed mix refuge makes sense for managing insect resistance to Bt plants. *Crop Prot* 38:74–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2012.02.015>
- Ceccon G, Concenço G., Borghi É et al (2015) Implantação e manejo de forrageiras em consórcio com milho safrinha. Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Embrapa Agropecuário Oeste, Documento 131:1–36.

- Cerda H, Wright D (2004) Modeling the spatial and temporal location of refugia to manage resistance in Bt transgenic crops. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 102(2):163–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.08.004>
- Chen M, Zhao J, Collins HL, Earle ED et al (2008) A critical assessment of the effects of Bt transgenic plants on parasitoids. *PLoS ONE*, 3(5):e2284. <http://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002284>
- Cloyd RA, James AB (2010) Impact of neonicotinoid insecticides on natural enemies in greenhouse and interiorscape environments. *Pest Manag Sci* 67:3-9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2015>
- Cota LV, Oliveira IR, Silva DD, Mendes SM, Costa RV, Souza IRP, Silva AF (2021) Manejo da cigarrinha e enfezamentos na cultura do milho. Embrapa Milho e Sorgo. Cartilha, 16 p. Disponível em: <https://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br/digital/bitstream/item/225303/1/Cartilha-Manejo-cigarrinha-enfezamentos-milho.pdf> Acesso em 10 Novembro 2022.
- Croft BA, Brown AWA (1975) Responses of arthropod natural enemies to insecticides. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 20(1): 285-335. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.20.010175.001441>
- Dale PJ, Clarke B, Fontes EM (2002) Potential for the environmental impact of transgenic crops. *Nature biotechnology*, 20(6):567-574.
- Dangal V, Huang F (2015) Fitness costs of Cry1F resistance in two populations of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E.Smith), collected from Puerto Rico and Florida. *J Invertebr Pathol* 127:81–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.03.004>
- De Clercq P, Mohaghegh J, Tirry L (2000) Effect of Host Plant on the Functional Response of the Predator *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Biological Control*, 18(1): 65-70. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bcon.1999.0808>
- De Clercq P, Wyckhuys K, Oliveira HN de, Klapwijk J (2002) Predation by *Podisus maculiventris* on different life stages of *Nezara viridula*. *Florida Entomol* 85(1):197-202. [https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040\(2002\)085\[0197:PBPMOD\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040(2002)085[0197:PBPMOD]2.0.CO;2)
- De Clercq P, Mohaghegh J, Tirry L (2000) Effect of host plant on the functional response of the predator *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Bio Control* 18:65-70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/bcon.1999.0808>
- Deguine JP, Aubertot J, FLOR RJ, Lescourret F et al (2021) Integrated pest management: good intentions, hard realities. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 41:38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-021-00689-w>
- Dias AS, Marucci R, Mendes SM et al (2016) Bioecology of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith, 1757) in different cover crops. *Biosci J* 32(2):337–345. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14393/BJ-v32n2a2016-29759>

- Diel IM, Dal'Col Lúcio A, Sari BG, Olivoto T (2021) Behavior of strawberry production with growth models: A multivariate approach. *Acta Sci Agron* 43:1-11. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v43i1.4>
- Environmental Protection Agency (1998) Final Report of the Subpanel on *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) Plant-Pesticides and Resistance Management. Available from <http://www2.epa.gov/sap> (accessed April 2021)
- Faria RD, Fanela TLM, Sartori MMP, Lopes JRS et al (2022) Evaluation of resistance of Bt and non-Bt maize genotypes to *Dalbulus maidis* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and associated mollicutes. *Phytoparasitica* 50:997-1009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-022-00999-z>
- Farias PRS, Barbosa JC, Busoli AC (2001) Spatial distribution of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E.Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on corn crop. *Neotrop Entomol* 30:681-689. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-566X2001000400025>
- Fernández-Arhex V, Corley JC (2003) The functional response of parasitoids and its implications for biological control. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 13(4):403-413. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0958315031000104523>
- Ferreira JAM, Zanuncio JC, Torres JB, Molina-Rugama AJ (2008) Predatory behavior of *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) on different densities of *Anticarsia gemmatalis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 18(7):711-719. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583150802271220>
- Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (2012). <https://www.fao.org/pest-and-pesticide-management/ipm/integrated-pest-management/en/> Acessado em 05 Novembro 2022
- Furlong MJ, Shi Z, Liu S, Zalucki MP (2004) Evaluation of the impact of natural enemies on *Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) populations on commercial *Brassica* farms. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 6:311-322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-9555.2004.00228.x>
- Garcia AG, Ferreira CP, Cõnsoli FL, Godoy WAC (2016) Predicting evolution of insect resistance to transgenic crops in within-field refuge configurations, based on larval movement. *Ecol Complex* 28:94-103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2016.07.006>
- Garcia AG, Ferreira CP, Godoy WAC, Meagher RL (2019) A computational model to predict the population dynamics of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *J Pest Sci* 92:429-441. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1051-4>
- Garcia AG, Godoy WAC (2017) A theoretical approach to analyze the parametric influence on spatial patterns of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E.Smith) (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) populations. *Neotrop Entomol* 46:283-288. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13744-016-0472-0>
- Garcia AG, Godoy WAC, Cõnsoli FL, Ferreira C (2020) Modelling movement and stage-

- specific habitat preferences of a polyphagous insect pest. *Mov Ecol* (8):13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-020-00198-7>
- Gassmann AJ, Stock SP, Carrière Y, Tabashnik BE (2006) Effect of entomopathogenic nematodes on the fitness cost of resistance to Bt toxin Cry 1Ac in pink bollworm (Lepidoptera:Gelechiidae). *J Econ Entomol* 99(3):920-926. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-99.3.920>
- Gilreath RT, Kerns DL, Huang F, Yang F (2020) No positive cross-resistance to Cry1 and Cry2 proteins favors pyramiding strategy for management of Vip3Aa resistance in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Pest Manag Science*, 77(4):1963-1970. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6224>
- Gould F (1994) Potential and problems with high-dose strategies for pesticidal engineered crops, 4(4):451-461. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09583159409355357>
- Graham HEAD (2005). Assessing the influence of Bt crops on natural enemies. In Second International Symposium on Biological Control of Arthropods, Davos, Switzerland, p. 346-355.
- Groote H, Kimenju SC, Munyua B, Palmas S, Kassie M, Bruce A (2019) Spread and impact of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E.Smith) in maize production areas of Kenya. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 292:106804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106804>
- Gustafson DI, Head GP, Caprio MA (2006) Modeling the Impact of alternative hosts on *Helicoverpa zea* adaptation to bollgard cotton. *J Econ. Entomol.* 99(6):2116–2124. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-99.6.2116>
- Hammill E, Petchey OL, Anholt BR (2010) Predator functional response changed by induced defenses in prey. *Am Nat* 176:723–731. <https://doi.org/10.1086/657040>
- Han P, Velasco-Hernández MC, Ramirez-Romero R, Desneux N (2016) Behavioral effects of insect-resistant genetically modified crops on phytophagous and beneficial arthropods: a review. *J Pest Sci* 89:859–883. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-016-0791-2>
- Hassanpour M, Mohaghegh J, Iranipour S, Nouri-Ganbalani G, Enkegaard A (2011) Functional response of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera:Chrysopidae) to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): Effect of prey and predator stages. *Insect Sci* 18, 217-224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2010.01360.x>
- Huang F, Qureshi JA, Meagher RL, Reising DD et al (2014) Cry 1F resistance in fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *PLoS One* 9(11):e112956. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112958>
- Hay-Roe MM, Meagher RL, Nagoshi RN (2011) Effects of cyanogenic plants on fitness in two host strains of the fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*). *J Chem Ecol* 37:1314–1322. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-011-0049-7>
- Hruska AJ (2019) Fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) management by smallholders,

CAB Reviews Perspectives in Agriculture Veterinary Science Nutrition and Natural Resource 14(043):1-11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR201914043>

Huang F (2021) Dominance and fitness costs of insect resistance to genetically modified *Bacillus thuringiensis* crops. *GM crops & Foods*, 12(1):192-211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645698.2020.1852065>

Huang F (2021) Dominance and fitness costs of insect resistance to genetically modified *Bacillus thuringiensis* crops. *GM crops & Foods*, 12(1):192-211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645698.2020.1852065>

Huang F (2021) Resistance of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, to transgenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1F corn in the Americas: lessons and implications for Bt corn IRM in China. *Insect Science*, 28(3):574-589. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12826>

Huang F, Andow DA, Buschman LL (2011) Success of the high-dose/refuge resistance management strategy after 15 years of Bt crop use in North America. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 140:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2011.01138.x>

Huang F, Qureshi JA, Meagher RL Jr et al (2014) Cry1F resistance in fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*: single gene versus pyramided Bt maize. *PLoS One* 9(11):e112958. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112958>

Huang, F. (2020) Resistance of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, to transgenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1F corn in the Americas: lessons and implications for Bt corn IRM in China. *Insect Sci*, 28 pp. 574-589, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12826>

IRAC – Comitê de Ação à Resistência de Inseticidas <https://www.ircac-br.org/documentos-tecnicos>. Acesso em: 18 Novembro 2022.

Irwin, ME. "Implications of movement in developing and deploying integrated pest management strategies. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 97(4): 235-248.

Jesus FG, Boiça Junior AL, Alves GC, Zanuncio JC (2014) Behavior, development, and predation of *Podisus nigrispinus* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) fed transgenic and conventional cotton cultivars. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 107(3):601-606. <https://doi.org/10.1603/AN13100>

Jin L, Zhang H, Lu Y, Yang Y et al (2015) Large-scale test of the natural refuge strategy for delaying insect resistance to transgenic Bt crops 33(2):169-74. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3100>

Johnson MT, Gould F, Kennedy GG (1997) Effects of natural enemies on relative fitness of *Heliothis virescens* genotypes adapted and not adapted to resistant host plants *Entomol Experimentalis Applicata* 82:219-230. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.1997.00133.x>

- Juárez ML, Murúa MG, García MG et al (2012) Host association of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) corn and rice strains in Argentina, Brazil, and Paraguay. *J Econ Entomol* 105(2):573–582. <https://doi.org/10.1603/ec11184>
- Kaiser HF (1974) An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika* 39:31-36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02291575>
- Kanya JI, Ngi-Song AJ, Sétamou MF, Overholt W, Ochora J, Osir EO (2004) Diversity of alternative hosts of maize stemborers in Trans-Nzoia district of Kenya. *Environ Biosaf Res* 3(3):159–168. <https://doi.org/10.1051/ebr:2005002>
- Kennedy GG, Storer NP (2000) Life systems of polyphagous arthropod pests in temporally unstable cropping systems. *Annu Rev Entomol* 45(1):467–493. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.45.1.467>
- Koffi D, Kyerematen R, Eziah VY, Agboka K et al (2020) Natural enemies of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E.Smith) (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) in Ghana. *Florida Entomol* 103(1):85-90. <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.103.0414>
- Lê S, Josse J, Husson F (2008) FactoMineR: An R package for multivariate analysis. *J Stat Softw* 25:1–18. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v025.i01>
- Leite NA, Mendes SM, Santos CA, Pereira EJG (2014) Does Cry1Ab maize interfere in the biology and behavioural traits of *Podisus nigrispinus*? *Bulletin of Insectology*, 67(2):265-271.
- Liu L, Berger VW, Hershberger SL (2005) Trend tests for counts and proportions. In: Everitt BS, Howell D (eds) *Encyclopedia of Statistics in Behavioral Science*, Vol. 4. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, pp 2063–2066. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0470013192.bsa688>
- Liu X, Chen M, Collins HL, Onstad DW et al (2014) Natural enemies delay insect resistance to Bt crops. *PLoS One* 9(3):e90366. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090366>
- Liu X, Chen M, Collins HL, Onstad DW et al (2014) Natural enemies delay insect resistance to Bt crops. *PLoS One* 9(3):e90366. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090366>
- Lu Y, Wu K, Jiang Y, Guo Y, Desneux N (2012) Widespread adoption of Bt cotton and insecticide decrease promotes biocontrol services. *Nature*, 487:362-365. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11153>
- Lu Z, Perkins L (2013) Towards a resistance management strategy for *Helicoverpa armigera* in Bt-cotton in northwestern China: An assessment of potential refuge crops. *J Pest Sci* 86:695–703. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-013-0517-7>
- Luginbill P (1928) The fall army worm. *US Department of Agriculture Tech Bull* 34:91.
- Lundgren JG, Gassmann AJ, Bernal J, Duan JJ et al (2009) Ecological compatibility of GM crops and biological control. *Crop Protection* 28(12):1017-1030.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2009.06.001>

- Lundgren JG, Gassmann AJ, Bernal J, Duan JJ, Ruberson J (2009) Ecological compatibility of GM crops and biological control. *Crop Protection*, 28(12): 1017-1030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2009.06.001>
- Malaquias JB, Godoy WAC, Garcia AG, Ramalho FS, Omoto C (2017) Larval dispersal of *Spodoptera frugiperda* strains on Bt cotton: a model for understanding resistance evolution and consequences for its management. *Sci Rep* 7:16109. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16094-x>
- Malaquias JB, Omoto C, Ramalho FS, Godoy WAC et al (2015) Bt cotton and the predator *Podisus nigrispinus* (Dallas) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in the management of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) resistance to lambda-cyhalothrin. *J Pest Sci* 88, 57–63 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-014-0585-3>
- Malaquias JB, Ramalho FS, Omoto C et al (2014) Imidacloprid affects the functional response of predator *Podisus nigrispinus* (Dallas) Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) to strains of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) on Bt cotton. *Ecotoxicology* 23:192-200. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-013-1162-x>
- Malaquias JB, Ramalho FS, Omoto C, Godoy WAC et al (2014) Imidacloprid affects the functional response of predator *Podisus nigrispinus* (Dallas) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) to strains of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) on Bt cotton. *Ecotoxicology*, 23(2): 192-200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-013-1162-x>
- Malaquias, J. B., Godoy, W. A., Garcia, A. G., Ramalho, F. D. S., & Omoto, C. (2017). Larval dispersal of *Spodoptera frugiperda* strains on Bt cotton: a model for understanding resistance evolution and consequences for its management. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 1-10. <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16094-x>
- Meagher RL, Nagoshi RN, Stuhl CJ (2011) Oviposition choice of two fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host strains. *J Insect Behav* 24:337–347. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-011-9259-7>
- Meissle M, Vojtech E, Poppy GM (2005) Effects of Bt maize-fed prey on the generalist predator *Poecilus cupreus* L. (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *Transgenic Res* 14, 123–132. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11248-004-6458-4>
- Midega CAO, Khan ZR, Berg JV, Ogol CKPO et al (2006) Maize stemborer predator activity under ‘push-pull’ system and Bt-maize: A potential component in managing Bt resistance. *International J Pest Manag*, 52:1, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670870600558650>
- Mischan MM, Pinho SZ de, Carvalho LR de (2011) Determination of a point sufficiently close to the asymptote in nonlinear growth functions. *Sci Agric* 68:109–114. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s010390162011000100016>
- Molina-Ochoa L, Carpenter JE, Heinrichs EA, Foster JE (2003) Parasitoids and parasites

of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in the Americas and Caribbean Basin: an inventory. Fla Entomol 86(3):254–289. [https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040\(2003\)086\[0254:PAPOSF\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040(2003)086[0254:PAPOSF]2.0.CO;2)

Montezano DG, Specht A, Sosa-Gómez DR et al (2018) Host Plants of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) in the Americas. African Entomology, 26(2):286–300. <https://doi.org/10.4001/003.026.0286>

Múrua G, Virla E (2004) Population parameters of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lep.: Noctuidae) fed on corn and two predominant grasses in Tucuman (Argentina). Acta Zool Mex 20(1):199–200.

Naranjo SE (2009) Impacts of Bt crops on non-target invertebrates and insecticide use patterns. CAB Rev Perspect in Agric Vet Sci Nutrit Nat Resour 4:11.

Nascimento PT, Von Pinho RG, Fadini MAM, Souza CSF, Valicente FH (2020) Does the singular and stacked corn affect choice behavior for oviposition and feed in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae)? Neotrop Entomol 49(2):302–310. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-019-00750-0>

O’Neil RJ (1989) Comparison of laboratory and field measurements of the functional response of *Podisus maculiventris* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). J Kansas Entomol Soc 62(2):148-155. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25085070?seq=1> Accessed 15 March 2022

Obrist LB, Klein H, Dutton A, Bigler F (2005) Effects on Bt maize on *Frankliniella tenuicornis* and exposure of thrips predators to prey-mediated Bt toxins. Entomol Experiment Applicata, 115:409-416. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2005.00298.x>

Omoto C, Bernardi O, Salmeron E, Sorgatto RJ et al (2016) Field-evolved resistance to Cry1Ab maize by *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Brazil. Pest Manag Sci 72(9):1727-36. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4201>

Osntad DW, Liu X, Chen M, Roush R et al (2013) Modeling the integration of parasitoid, insecticide, and transgenic insecticidal crop for the long-term control of an insect pest. J Econ Entomol, 106(3):1103-1111. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/EC12287>

Overton K, Maino JL, Day R, Umina PA et al (2021) Global crops impacts, yield losses and action thresholds for fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*): A review. Crop Protection 145:105641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2021.105641>

Parra JRP, Carvalho SM (1984). Biologia e nutrição quantitativa de *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith, 1797) em meios artificiais compostos de diferentes variedades de feijão. Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil 13(2):305-319. <https://doi.org/10.37486/0301-8059.v13i2.354>

Pashley DP (1988) Current status of fall armyworm host strain. Fla Entomol 71: 227–234. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/88.6.748>

Pashley DP, Hardy TN, Hammond AM (1995) Host effects on developmental and

reproductive traits in fall armyworm strains (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 88:748–755. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/88.6.748>

Peluzio RJE, Castro BMC, Brügger BP et al (2018) Does diet of prey affect life table parameters of the predator *Podisus nigrispinus* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae)? *Fla Entomol* 101(1): 40-43. <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.101.0108>

Pervez A, Omkar (2005) Functional responses of coccinellid predators: an illustration of a logistic approach. *J Insect Sci* 5(1):5. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jis/5.1.5>

Piggott MP, Tadle FPJ, Patel S, Gomez KC, Thistleton B (2021) Corn-strain or rice-strain? Detection of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (JE Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in northern Australia. *Int J Trop Insect Sci* 41:2607–2615. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-021-00441-7>

Pimenta ICO, Nunes GS, Magalhães GO et al (2020) Effects of a Bt-based insecticide on the functional response of *Ceraeochrysa cincta* preying on *Plutella xylostella*. *Ecotoxicology* 29:856-865. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-020-02244-x>

Pimentel D (2005) Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the United States. *Environ Dev Sustain* 7:229-252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-005-7314-2>

Poley K, Bahlai C, Grieshop M (2018) Functional response of generalist predators to *Holyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) eggs. *Environm entomol* 47(5):1117-1127. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvy110>

Pritchard DW, Paterson R, Bovy HC, Barrios-O'Neill D (2017). FRAIR: an R package for fitting and comparing consumer functional responses. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8(11):1528-1534. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12784>

R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from <https://www.r-project.org/index.html>

R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from <http://www.r-project.org/index.html>

Ramalho FS, Pachú JKS, Lira ACS, Malaquias JB et al (2014) Feeding and dispersal behavior of the cotton leafworm, *Alabama argillacea* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on Bt and non-Bt cotton: implications for evolution and resistance management. *Plos One* 9:e111588. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111588>

Real LA (1977) The kinetics of functional response. *The American Naturalist* 111:289-300. <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/epdf/10.1086/283161>

Ribeiro LP, Klock ALS, Nesi CN, Luczkiewicz FRG, Travi MRL, Rech AF (2020) Adaptability and comparative biology of Fall armyworm on Maize and perennial forage species and relation with chemical-bromatological composition. *Neotrop*

Entomol 49:758–767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-020-00794-7>

Romeis J, Meissle M, Bigler F (2006) Transgenic crops expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins and biological control. *Nat Biotechnol* 24:63-71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1180>

Romeis J, Meissle M, Bigler F (2006) Transgenic crops expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins and biological control. *Nat Biotechnology* 24:63-71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1180>

Santos BDB, Ramalho FS, Malaquias JB, Lira ACS et al (2016) How predation by *Podisus nigrispinus* is influenced by developmental stage and density of its prey *Alabama argillacea*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 158(2):142-151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12396>

Sari BG, Olivoto T, Diel MI, Krysczun DK et al (2018) Nonlinear modeling for analyzing data from multiple harvest crops. *Agron J* 110:2331–2342. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2018.05.0307>

Schuler T, Potting RPJ, Denhol I, Poppy GM (1999) Parasitoid behaviour and Bt plants. *Nature* 400:825-826. <https://doi.org/10.1038/23605>

Schuler TH, Denholm I, Clark SJ, Stewart CN et al (2004) Effects of Bt plants on the development and survival of the parasitoid *Cotesia plutellae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in susceptible and Bt-resistant larvae of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 50(5), 435-443.

Silva WM, Martínez LC, Plata-Rueda A, Serrão JE, Zanuncio JC (2020) Respiration, predatory behavior and prey consumption by *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) nymphs exposed to some insecticides. *Chemosphere* 261:127720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127720>

Sisay B, Simiyu J, Malusi P et al (2018) First report of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), natural enemies from Africa. *J App Entomol* 142:800–804. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12534>

Sisterson M, Carrière Y, Carrière C, Dennehy T, Tabashnik B (2005) Evolution of Resistance to Transgenic Crops: Interactions Between Insect Movement and Field Distribution. *J Econ Entomol* 98(6):1751–1762. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/98.6.1751>

Snyder WE, Wise DH (1999) Predator interference and the establishment of generalist predator populations for biocontrol. *Biol Control* 15:283-292. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bcon.1999.0723>

Stapel JO, Waters DJ, Ruberson JR, Lewis WJ (1998) Development and behavior of *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae in choice tests with food substrates containing toxins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Biol Control* 11:29-37. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bcon.1997.0576>

- Sugawara R, Ullah MS, Ho C, Gotoh T (2018) Impact temperature-mediated functional responses of *Neoseiulus womerseleyi* and *N. longispinosus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Biol Control*, 126:26-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2018.07.010>
- Svobodová Z, Shu Y, Habuštová OS, Romeis J, Meissle M (2017) Stacked Bt maize and arthropod predators: exposure to insecticidal Cry proteins and potential hazards. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 284(1859):20170440. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0440>
- Tabashnik B, Brévault T, Carrière Y (2013) Insect resistance to Bt crops: lessons from the first billion acres. *Nat Biotechnol* 31, 510–521. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2597>
- Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y (2017) Surge in insect resistance to transgenic crops and prospects for sustainability. *Nature Biotechnology*, 35(10):926–935. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3974>
- Tabashnik BE, Gassmann AJ, Crowder DW, Carrière Y (2008) Insect resistance to Bt crops: Evidence versus theory. *Nat Biotechnol* 26(2):199–202. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1382>
- Tang S, Cheke RA (2008) Models for integrated pest control and their biological implication. *Mathem Biosciences*, 215(1):115-125. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2008.06.008>
- Téllez-Rodríguez P, Raymond B, Morán-Betot I et al (2014) Strong oviposition preference for Bt over non-Bt maize in *Spodoptera frugiperda* and its implications for the evolution of resistance. *BMC Biol* 12:48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-12-48>
- Thies C, Tschamntke T (1999) Landscape structure and biological control in agroecosystems. *Science* 285:893-895. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.285.5429.893>
- Tian JC, Yao J, Long LP, Romeis J et al. Bt crops benefit natural enemies to control non-target pests. *Sci Rep* 5, 16636. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16636>
- Uiterwaal SF, Courtney M, DeLong JP (2017) Body size, body size ratio, and prey type influence the functional response of damselfly nymphs. *Oecologia* 185(3):339-346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-017-3963-8>
- Unbehend M, Hänniger S, Vásquez GM et al (2014) Geographic variation in sexual attraction of *Spodoptera frugiperda* corn- and rice-strain males to pheromone lures. *PloS One* 9(2):e89255 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089255>
- USDA (2020) United State Department of Agriculture. Foreign Agricultural Service. Available at: <https://www.fas.usda.gov/about-fas> Accessed 25 November 2021
- Vacher C, Bourguet D, Rousset, Chevillon C, Hochberg ME (2003) Modelling the spatial configuration of refuges for a sustainable control of pests: a case study of Bt cotton. *J Evol Biol* 16:378–387. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00553.x>

- Vélez A, Spencer T, Alves A, Moellenbeck D et al (2013) Inheritance of Cry1F resistance, cross-resistance and frequency of resistance alleles in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 103(6):700-713. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007485313000448>
- Vélez AM, Spencer TA, Alves AP, Crespo ALB, Siegfried BD (2014) Fitness cost of Cry 1F resistance in fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *J Appl Entomol* 138:315–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12092>
- Visser A, Van den Berg J (2020) Bigger, faster, stronger: implications of inter-species interactions for IRM of lepidopteran pest of Bt maize in Africa. *J Integr Pest Manag* 11(1):1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jipm/pmaa014>
- Vivan LM, Torres JB, Barros R, Veiga AFSL (2002) Tasa de crecimiento poblacional del chinche depredador *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) y de la presa *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) en invernadero. *Rev Biol Trop* 50:145–153. <https://revistas.ucr.ac.cr/index.php/rbt/article/view/16191>
- Wan J, Huang C, Li C, Zhou H et al (2021) Biology, invasion and management of the agricultural invader: fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), 20(3):646-663. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(20\)63367-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63367-6)
- Wolfenbarger LL, Naranjo SE, Lundgren JG, Bitzer RJ et al (2008) Bt crop effects on functional guilds of non-target arthropods: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 3(5):e2118. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002118>
- Yang F, Kerns D, Brown S et al. (2016) Performance and cross-crop resistance of Cry1F-maize selected *Spodoptera frugiperda* on transgenic Bt cotton: Implications for resistance management. *Sci Rep* 6:28059. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28059>
- Yang F, Morsello S, Head GP, Sansone C et al (2017) F₂ screen, inheritance and cross-resistance of field-derived Vip3Aa resistance in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) collected from Louisiana, USA. *Pest Manag Sci* 74:1769-1778. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4805>
- Zanuncio JC, Silva CAD, Lima ER, Pereira FF et al (2008) Predation rate of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae with and without defense by *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Braz Arch Biol Technol* 51(1): 121-125. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132008000100015>